

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

*	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		47176-DIV2 (342)	7219
09/716,147	11/17/2000	Shuji Hinuma	4/1/0-D1V2 (342)	,,
7:	7590 08/11/2003			
DAVID G. CONLIN		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS AND ANGELL,LLP		ROMEO, DAVID S		
P.O. BOX 9169	9			
BOSTON, MA	02209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	a
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	-licent/o)		
Office Action Summary			plicant(s),		
		09/716,147	HINUMA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
 	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	David S Romeo	1647		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 J</u>	lune 2003 .			
2a)□		is action is non-final.	•		
3)	<i>,</i> —		osecution as to the morite is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)🖂 (Claim(s) 17 and 21-23 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.			
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6)□ (Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>17 and 21-23</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exan	niner.		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •		
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)					
Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ntion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) ation Sheet .		

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures and Raw Sequence Listing Error Report .

Application/Control Number: 09/716,147

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed June 5, 2003 (Paper No. 7) has been entered. Claims 17, 21-23 are pending.

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth below or on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures. Specifically, the paper copy of the sequence listing is not the same as the computer readable form of the sequence listing contains 94 sequences whereas the computer readable form of the sequence listing contains 140 sequences.

Also, the specification fails to recite the appropriate sequence identifiers at each place where a sequence is discussed. See, for example, Figure 1 and page 194, lines 9-11. This is not meant to be an exhaustive list of places where the specification fails to comply with the sequence rules. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. The application cannot issue until it is in compliance. Nucleic acid sequences with 10 or more nucleotides, at least 4 of which are specifically defined, must comply with the sequence rules. Amino acid sequences with 4 or more residues, at least 4 of which are specifically defined, must comply with the sequence rules. Sequence identifiers can also be used to discuss and/or claim parts or fragments of a properly

Application/Control Number: 09/716,147

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

presented sequence. For example, language such as "residues 14 to 243 of SEQ ID NO:23" is permissible and the fragment need not be separately presented in the "Sequence Listing."

Applicant may bring the figure(s) into compliance by amending either the figure(s) or the "Brief Description of the Drawings" to recite the appropriate sequence identifier.

Correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 17, 21, 22, to the extent that they are drawn to a measuring or testing process involving ligand-receptor binding wherein the ligand comprises SEQ ID NO: 73 and the receptor comprises SEQ ID NO: 19, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- II. Claims 17, 21, 22, to the extent that they are drawn to drawn to a measuring or testing process involving ligand-receptor binding wherein the ligand comprises SEQ ID NO: 73 and the receptor comprises SEQ ID NO: 20, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- III. Claims 17, 21, 22, 23, to the extent that they are drawn to drawn to a measuring or testing process involving ligand-receptor binding wherein the ligand comprises SEQ ID NO: 73 and the receptor comprises SEQ ID NO: 21, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IV. Claims 17, 21, 22, to the extent that they are drawn to drawn to a measuring or testing process involving ligand-receptor binding wherein the ligand comprises

Application/Control Number: 09/716,147

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

SEQ ID NO: 73 and the receptor comprises SEQ ID NO: 22, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The following pairwise combinations of methods are independent and distinct, wherein each member of a pair using different starting materials: I and each of II-IV; II and each of III-IV; III and IV. SEQ ID NOs: 19, 20, 21, and 22 are different proteins and are structurally distinct chemical compounds. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such polypeptide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: SEQ ID NO: 1, SEQ ID NO: 3, SEQ ID NO: 4, SEQ ID NO: 5, SEQ ID NO: 6, SEQ ID NO: 7, SEQ ID NO: 8, SEQ ID NO: 9, SEQ ID NO: 10, SEQ ID NO: 44, SEQ ID NO: 45, SEQ ID NO: 47, SEQ ID NO: 48, SEQ ID NO: 49, SEQ ID NO: 50, SEQ ID NO: 51, SEQ ID NO: 52, SEQ ID NO: 61, SEQ ID NO: 62, SEQ ID NO: 63, SEQ ID NO: 64, SEQ ID NO: 65, SEQ ID NO: 66.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, groups I-IV are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/716,147 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/716,147

Art Unit: 1647

ANY INQUIRY CONCERNING THIS COMMUNICATION OR EARLIER COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE EXAMINER SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO DAVID S. ROMEO WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 305-4050. THE EXAMINER CAN NORMALLY BE REACHED ON MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY FROM 7:30 A.M. TO 4:00 P.M.

IF ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, GARY KUNZ, CAN BE

REACHED ON (703) 308-4623.

IF SUBMITTING OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE BY FAX, APPLICANTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE FOLLOWING TO 1600 BEFORE AND AFTER FINAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS:

BEFORE FINAL (703) 872-9306 AFTER FINAL (703) 872-9307

IN ADDITION TO THE OFFICIAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS ABOVE, THE TC 1600 FAX CENTER HAS THE FOLLOWING OFFICIAL FAX

NUMBERS: (703) 305-3592, (703) 308-4242 AND (703) 305-3014.

CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO ADVISED TO USE CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE PROCEDURES WHEN SUBMITTING A REPLY TO A NON-FINAL

OR FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY FACSIMILE (SEE 37 CFR 1.6 AND 1.8).

FAXED DRAFT OR INFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE EXAMINER AT (703) 308-0294.

ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

20

5

10

15

DAVID ROMEO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1647

25 DSF

AUGUST 9, 2003

NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

	• FII F COPY
Application No.	Applicant(5)
09/716,147	HINUMA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
David S Romeo	1647

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):

10, 000,, a alcolocal a ac a	
is directed to the f 1990). If the effec	ntion clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18230 (May 1, 1990), and 1114 OG 29 (May 15, ctive filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the final rulemaking notice published at 63 FR 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).
☐2. This applica as required by 37	tion does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing' C.F.R. 1.821(c).
☐3. A copy of th C.F.R. 1.821(e).	ne "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37
the computer read	ne "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of dable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822and/or 1.823, as indicated opy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."
unreadable as ind	ter readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or ficated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A substitute computer readable form d as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
⊠6. The paper of Listing" as require	copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable form of the "Sequence ed by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
☐7. Other:	
Applicant Must Provide:	
☐ An initial com	puter readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
	paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the
	hat the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable latter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).
For questions regarding c	compliance to these requirements, please contact:

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR RESPONSE

For Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216 For CRF Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212 PatentIn Software Program Support (SIRA)

Applicant(s)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Intanious Cummans	09/716,147	HINUMA ET AL.			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	David S Romeo	1647			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>David S Romeo</u> .	(3)	;			
(2) <u>John B. Alexander</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>6/2,18/03</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed:					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The examiner discussed the attached examiner's amendments. Mr. Alexander confirmed that by stating "18.20. (Cancelled)." in the amendment filed June 5, 2003 it was intended that claims 18-20 be cancelled.</u>					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's siç	gnature, if required			



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.